Appln. No.: 10/519,763 Amendment dated July 3, 2007

Reply to Office Action of April 16, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the non-final Office Action mailed April 26, 2007, the Specification was objected to

for a lack of Headings and for typos. In response, paragraphs 2, 25, 26 and 30 the Specification

have been amended and Headings have been inserted.

The Drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(o) for not having legends. In

response, Replacement Drawings for FIGS. 1 and 2 are attached hereto that include the legends

for elements 10, 14, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 50 and 57.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claim

1 has been amended to delete the phrases "such as" and "and the like." Claim 1 has also been

amended to positively recite the elements of the claimed device.

Claims 3-10 were objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper multiple

dependent form, and were not further treated on the merits. Claims 3-10 have been amended to conform with U.S. practice and to include only multiple dependencies that refer to the other

claims in the alternative. New claim 11 has also been added, which includes a feature deleted

from original claim 1.

Claims 1-2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) as being anticipated by WO 01/39222

to James et al. ("James") The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. By this Response,

claim 1 has been amended to recite the following:

A device for a security system for an installation, comprising:

9

a plurality of detectors placed in or adjacent to a habitat in which

an object that carries out work which results in heat generation is isolated from

the surroundings outside of the habitat, and where an overpressure of air is set

Banner & Witcoff, Ltd 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 463-5000

Appln. No.: 10/519,763

Amendment dated July 3, 2007

Reply to Office Action of April 16, 2007

up inside the habitat to prevent ingress of flammable gases, the detectors

adapted to register the overpressure of air inside the habitat:

an alarm system that can warn of irregularities; and

a shut-down central to which the detectors and the alarm system

are electrically connected;

wherein the shut-down central is arranged to shut down operation

of the heat generating equipment when irregularities arise in the operation of the

habitat.

The amendments to claim 1 are supported at least by paragraphs [0027]-[0029] and

[0032] of the application as originally filed.

James does not disclose each and feature of claim 1, as amended. James does not

disclose a plurality of detectors placed in or adjacent to a habitat in which an object that carries

out work which results in heat generation is isolated from the surroundings outside of the habitat,

and where an overpressure of air is set up inside the habitat to prevent ingress of flammable

gases, the detectors adapted to register the overpressure of air inside the habitat. Rather, James

discloses detectors in relation to a home or other point of use with a gas (not air) supply. James

does not disclose a habitat in which an object that carries out work which results in heat

generation is isolated from the surroundings outside of the habitat, and where an overpressure of air is set up inside the habitat to prevent ingress of flammable gases. Thus, James does not

disclose detectors adapted to register the overpressure of air inside the habitat from the

surroundings outside of the habitat. This is noteworthy because, isolated from the surroundings

outside of the habitat, is an object that carries out work which results in heat generation, thus the

Banner & Witcoff, Ltd 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606

(312) 463-5000

10

Appln. No.: 10/519,763

Amendment dated July 3, 2007

Reply to Office Action of April 16, 2007

ingress of flammable gases in the habitat might pose a safety problem. Since James does not

disclose each and every feature of amended claim 1, it does not anticipate amended claim 1. The

dependent claims that depend from claim 1 are patentable over James for at least the same

reasons as claim 1 and for the additional features recited therein. The Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) rejection.

Conclusion

All objections and rejections having been addressed, Applicant respectfully submits that

the application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests prompt notification of the

same. Should it be deemed necessary to facilitate prosecution of the application, the Examiner is

invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: July 3, 2007

Adrian Dichle

Registration No. 57,800 Direct Dial: (312) 463-5405

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 10 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 3000

Chicago, IL 60606-7407 Tel: (312) 463-5000

Tel: (312) 463-5000 Fax: (312) 463-5001